

Office of the Clerk, United States District Court  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, ROOM 2112  
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113-3095

OFFICIAL BUSINESS  
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE

8007 2 2 2008  
AUG 22

Filed



Reason checked  
☐ Refused  
☐ Out to court  
☒ Paroled  
☒ Discharged

Mobassabpour  
P-05952

RETURN TO SENDER

☐ No CDC Number  
☐ Out to Court  
☐ Refused  
☒ Discharged

☒ Not at Folsom State Prison  
☐ Out to Medical  
☐ Name & CDC # do not match  
☐ Paroled

STATE MAX



02 1A  
0004327683  
AUG 18 2008  
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 95113

\$01.00

PRIMEY BOWES

RECEIVED

AUG 20 2008

FOLSOM STATE PRISON  
MAIL ROOM

discharge for Reg  
parole 4/9/08  
State Max

**\*E-FILED - 8/12/08\***

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MOBASSA BOYD,	)	No. C 08-3005 RMW (PR)
	)	
Petitioner,	)	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
MATTHEW KRAMER, Warden,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

Petitioner, a state parolee proceeding pro se, seeks a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has paid the filing fee. The court orders respondent to show cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted.

**BACKGROUND**

According to the petition, petitioner was released on parole from a 1998 conviction of being a felon in possession of a firearm (Cal. Penal Code § 12021(e)). On October 23, 2007, the Board of Parole Hearings concluded that petitioner engaged in criminal threats in violation of his parole conditions, revoked his parole, and returned him to custody for nine months. Thereafter, petitioner filed a habeas petition in Alameda County Superior Court, which was denied on November 28, 2007. He then filed a habeas petition in the California Court of Appeal, which was denied on December 21, 2007. He finally filed a habeas petition in California Supreme Court, which was denied on April 9, 2008. The instant federal petition was filed on June 8,

1 2008.

## 2 DISCUSSION

### 3 A. Standard of Review

4 This court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in  
5 custody pursuant to the judgment of a state court only on the ground that he is in custody in  
6 violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a); Rose  
7 v. Hodges, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975).

8 A district court shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show  
9 cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the  
10 applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." 28 U.S.C. § 2243.

### 11 B. Petitioner's Claims

12 As grounds for federal habeas relief petitioner asserts that: (1) he was denied his right to  
13 present witnesses; (2) counsel was ineffective for failing to (a) investigate the case and  
14 (b) interview and subpoena witnesses; and (3) he was denied his right to present a defense.  
15 Liberally construed, petitioner's allegations are sufficient to require a response. The court orders  
16 respondent to show cause why the petition should not be granted.

## 17 CONCLUSION

18 1. The clerk shall serve by mail a copy of this order and the petition (docket  
19 no. 1) and all attachments thereto upon the respondent and the respondent's attorney, the  
20 Attorney General of the State of California. The clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on the  
21 petitioner.

22 2. Respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner, within **sixty days** of  
23 the date of receipt of this order and the petition, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5  
24 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should  
25 not be granted. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on petitioner a copy of all  
26 portions of the underlying state criminal record that have been transcribed previously and that  
27 are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

28 If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the

1 court and serving it on respondent within **thirty days** of his receipt of the answer.

2 3. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an  
3 answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section  
4 2254 Cases within **sixty days** of the date of receipt of this order. If respondent files such a  
5 motion, petitioner shall file with the court and serve on respondent an opposition or statement of  
6 non-opposition within **thirty days** of receipt of the motion, and respondent shall file with the  
7 court and serve on petitioner a reply within **fifteen days** of receipt of any opposition.

8 4. It is petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner is reminded that  
9 all communications with the court must be served on respondent by mailing a true copy of the  
10 document to respondent's counsel. Petitioner must keep the court and all parties informed of any  
11 change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice of Change of Address." He must  
12 comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal  
13 of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: 8/8/08

  
RONALD M. WHYTE  
United States District Judge